International Journal of Engineering Research & Management Technology
(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal) ISSN: 2348-4039
Volume 13, Issue-1 January-February- 2026 Impact Factor: 7.09
Email: editor@ijermt.org www.ijermt.org

"INVESTIGATING AGENCIES AND VICTIMS' RIGHTS IN INDIA WITH A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF CHALLENGES AND REFORMS"

1. *Arpit Sharma, Research Scholar, Department of law, Maharaja Agarsen University, Pokhra Uttarakhand.*
2. *Supervisor -Dr Pallavi Chaudhary, Assistant Professor, Department of law, Maharaja Agarsen University, Pokhra Uttarakhand.*
3. *Co-Supervisor-Dr Atal singh , Associate Professor, Department of law, Maharaja Agarsen University Pokhra, Uttarakhand.*

ABSTRACT

Helping victims of crime, getting them the justice, they deserve, and getting them back on their feet are all important functions of victim aid services in India. Nevertheless, victim rights are not effectively implemented due to structural constraints. Key issues such as resource restrictions, lack of knowledge, and procedural delays are highlighted in this article, which also investigates the organization, operation, and effect of Indian victim support agencies. It also evaluates the rights framework accessible to victims. Legislative change, capacity development, and community engagement are the three pillars upon which the offered recommendations to improve these systems rest.

Keywords: Criminal Operation, Victim, Justice, Rights, India.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Globally, victims of crime have historically received little help and attention from the criminal justice system, which has historically prioritized the apprehension, prosecution, and punishment of perpetrators. This has always been the case in India as well, with the adversarial judicial system giving more weight to the rights of the accused and less to the victims' demands and rights. Many nations, including India, have undergone substantial reforms in response to the worldwide trend toward a victim-centric approach to criminal justice, which has been especially noticeable since the late 20th century. To guarantee victims of crime get justice, rehabilitation, and recompense, victim aid services and progressive laws have become crucial tools. Systemic inefficiencies, a lack of information, and deeply established social attitudes continue to pose obstacles to the actual implementation of victim rights in India, notwithstanding these advancements. This study investigates victim rights and victim support organizations in India, highlighting existing issues and offering solutions.

Because punishing criminals is seen as the principal means of delivering justice in India's criminal justice system, victims of crime are often disregarded. The judicial system often ignores victims despite the fact that they have suffered psychological, emotional, financial, and social harm. Several victim support procedures and legislative measures have been put in place in the last several decades to address this gap. Victim compensation programs, authorities providing legal assistance at the state and local levels, women's crisis centers that serve as a one-stop shop, and child welfare committees are all part of this system. Victims' urgent needs, such as medical help, housing, psychiatric therapy, legal representation, and financial recompense, are addressed by these organizations and programs in an effort to provide comprehensive support.

There have been a number of obstacles that have reduced the effectiveness of these frameworks, notwithstanding their creation. A significant problem is that victims may not know about their rights or the resources that are accessible to them. Section 357A of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 establishes the Victim Compensation Scheme, although many victims, especially those from disadvantaged groups, are not aware of this provision. Accessing these rights is already complicated due to the lack of knowledge about them, and the many bureaucratic hoops one must go through to do so just makes things worse. In addition, many victims of domestic violence or sexual assault may not seek treatment because of the shame that surrounds trauma. Persistent victim-blaming attitudes are well ingrained in Indian culture and society, which further isolates victims from access to justice.

A further major obstacle is that victim aid services do not have sufficient funding. The State Legal Services Authorities (SLSAs) often face staffing and financial constraints while attempting to fulfil their mandate of offering victims free legal assistance. Likewise, the infrastructure of women's One Stop Centres (OSCs), which are supposed to provide integrated support services, is often not enough to keep up with the increasing demand. States sometimes fail to pay out the promised sums to victims in a timely way, adding to the delays and discrepancies in victim compensation programs' financial compensation awards. The larger structural problems in India's welfare and justice delivery systems are mirrored in these resource limits.

In the Indian setting, the problem of delayed justice is very significant. Decisions from the court might take years because of the enormous backlog of cases. Because of this holdup, victims' pain intensifies, and they lose faith in the legal system. For instance, it might take years for victims of horrific crimes like acid assaults or rape to get compensation or see their abusers punished. The public's faith in the criminal justice system takes a hit, and victims' ability to heal and move on is negatively affected as a result.

There is a dearth of cooperation and coordination among the several parties engaged in victim aid, on top of institutional inefficiencies. Law enforcement, legal assistance organizations, healthcare professionals, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) must often work together to assist victims. Support is uneven and disjointed since there isn't a single foundation for cooperation. To make matters worse, victims often have to wade through a web of unrelated services. Data on victim needs and results is difficult to gather and analyze due to the lack of integration, which makes it hard to spot gaps and create focused interventions.

India can learn a lot about victim support from the world's experiences. The rights and welfare of victims are prioritized at every level of the legal process in countries like South Africa, Canada, and the US via extensive victim assistance systems. For example, victim assistance programs at the state level are supported by federal financing under the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), which guarantees that victims have access to consistent and high-quality support services. Equally important in Canada is the role of the Federal Ombudsman for Victims of Crime, an impartial entity charged with resolving victim complaints and holding perpetrators to account. The victim empowerment policies of South Africa acknowledge the importance of rehabilitation and restitution to the pursuit of justice, and hence include victim assistance within the larger framework of criminal justice.

A more victim-centric approach has been increasingly acknowledged in India in recent years. The need for efficient victim compensation systems and the significance of victim rights have been stressed by the Supreme Court of India in many rulings. Significant milestones in this approach are the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012 and the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013, both of which mandate particular safeguards and fast-track courts for vulnerable victims. Similarly, organizations that help women's safety and recovery get funding from efforts like the Nirbhaya Fund, which was founded in response to the 2012 Delhi gang rape case. Nevertheless, these measures' effects are still not uniform, and difficulties in putting them into practice continue to reduce their efficacy.

A combination of legislative change, capacity development, and community participation is necessary to address these difficulties. Uniform implementation of victim rights throughout the nation necessitates thorough legislation that consolidates and reinforces these rights. A victim-centric approach should be taught to law enforcement, judges, and social workers as part of capacity development initiatives. Victims must be informed of their rights and encouraged to seek aid via public awareness campaigns. Tech also has the potential to revolutionize victim support programs by making them more accessible. Digital systems that allow victims to access information, submit claims, and monitor the status of their cases may greatly alleviate the procedural obstacles they encounter.

In a major change to the criminal justice system, victim support organizations have been set up and victim rights have been acknowledged in India. But we still have a long way to go before we reach a victim-centric system. The efficacy of victim assistance systems is nevertheless undermined by systemic inefficiencies, resource limits, and social prejudices. India can build a strong system that protects victims' rights and dignity by tackling these issues and studying international best practices. With the hope of offering practical advice to stakeholders in politics, the private sector, and civil society, this research study seeks to add to this effort.

1.2 LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR VICTIM RIGHTS IN INDIA

As the need of victim empowerment and protection within India's criminal justice system has been more widely acknowledged, the country's victim rights legislation has developed substantially over the years. The arrest, conviction, and punishment of criminals have long been the primary goals of India's criminal justice system. But victims' demands for justice, assistance, and rehabilitation were often ignored by this method. The legal system has evolved to include more protections for victims' rights, easier access to justice, and ways to get compensation and assistance. This section explores the evolving victim rights environment in India, examining constitutional, legislative, and judicial advancements, while also drawing attention to the gaps that still exist.

The Constitution of India embeds basic rights that safeguard victims indirectly and is the backbone of India's legal framework for victim rights. Article 14 establishes a baseline for non-discrimination in victim treatment by guaranteeing equality before the law and equal protection of the laws. Judicial interpretations of Article 21's protection of life and personal liberty have been broad, including the rights to dignity, fair treatment, and access to justice. The development of victim-centric legislation and policies may be built around these fundamental principles, which guarantee that victims will not be disregarded in the quest for justice.

The formalization of victim rights in India is mostly attributable to statutory legislation. Parts of the 1973 Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC) deal with victim issues, making it an important part of the legal structure. Victims may get financial restitution for their losses according to Section 357 of the Criminal Procedure Code, which gives judges the authority to compel compensation as part of sentence. In 2008, however, lawmakers acknowledged the provision's inadequacies and enacted Section 357A, creating the Victim Compensation Scheme. Under this program, states are required to help victims and their families financially if a crime has caused serious harm, such as death. The passage of Section 357A was a major step in the right to victim compensation's institutionalization, although its application varies from state to state.

The POCSO Act of 2012 is another important piece of legislation since it guarantees full protection to children who have been victims of sexual abuse. Included in the child-friendly processes mandated by the Act are in-camera trials, safeguards to avoid the re-victimization of child survivors, and the presence of a support person throughout investigations and trials. Also, after the Nirbhaya gang rape case, the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013 was passed. This act increased the punishments for sexual assaults and gave victims more rights, including as access to medical care, compensation, and special courts that hear instances of sexual violence more quickly.

To further protect vulnerable populations, more laws have been passed. To guarantee that victims of caste-based atrocities get immediate assistance, the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, has certain safeguards for such victims. A variety of remedies, including as protection orders, residency orders, and financial redress, are provided for under the Domestic Violence Act, 2005, which aims to safeguard women from domestic violence. The need of tailored solutions to meet the varied experiences and needs of victims is highlighted by these regulations.

Judicial precedent and legislative measures have both contributed to the advancement of victim rights. Justice that is focused on the victim has been stressed by India's highest court in many rulings. As an example, a victim-sensitive approach was emphasized in the 1995 case of Delhi Domestic Working Women's Forum v. Union of India, where the Court established standards for the rehabilitation and restitution of rape survivors. Similarly, the Court upheld the state's duty to protect the basic rights of its inhabitants in Nilabati Behera v. State of Orissa (1993), which acknowledged the right to restitution for victims of custodial assault.

The execution of victim rights in India encounters many obstacles, notwithstanding these favorable changes. A major problem is that victim compensation mechanisms are not consistently applied. Although states are required to create these programs under Section 357A, there is a great deal of variation among them in terms of compensation amounts, eligibility conditions, and procedures. This discrepancy undermines the concept of equality guaranteed by the Constitution by creating inequities in victim assistance and access to justice.

The victim compensation provisions are also underutilized, which is a big problem. In spite of having a legal right to compensation, many victims, especially those from disadvantaged backgrounds, either do not know about it or do not have the means to apply for it. The victim's already difficult situation is made much worse when compensation is not paid out in a timely manner, leaving the victim without the financial means to deal with the aftereffects of the crime.

Victim rights must also be upheld by the courts and law enforcement. However, victims often experience insensitive interrogation, procedural delays, and insufficient assistance due to court and police personnel' lack of training and sensitivity, which results in secondary victimization. This is especially true in sexual assault situations, when survivors often experience more trauma and shame as a result of the inquiry and trial. Even though it is not universally used, victim-friendly processes including in-camera trials and video conferencing to record testimony have been acknowledged.India can learn a lot about how to improve its victim rights laws from the lessons learned throughout the world. The US, Canada, and the UK are just a few of the countries that have passed extensive laws meant to aid and shield victims. As an example, victim aid programs get government financing under the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) in the US, which guarantees that victims receive consistent and high-quality support services. Victims in Canada have the same rights as victims in the United States, including access to information, safety, agency, and reparation, as outlined in the Victims Bill of Rights. These cases highlight the need for a comprehensive and coordinated strategy to aid victims, one that incorporates financial, legal, and psychological resources.Over the last several years, India has worked to bring its legal system up to par with global norms. Worldwide, victim rights are guided by the 1985 United Nations Declaration on Fundamental Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power. Fair treatment, immediate reparation, and recompense are all stressed in the proclamation. India has launched hotline services for victims of assault and established One Stop Centers (OSCs) specifically for women, all in an attempt to put these ideas into practice. Nevertheless, in order to guarantee the efficacy of these endeavors, ongoing funding, oversight, and assessment are necessary.

1.3 CHALLENGES FACED BY VICTIM ASSISTANCE AGENCIES

Helping victims of crimes, assaults, and human rights breaches is the primary responsibility of victim support services in India. It is the responsibility of these governmental and non-governmental organizations to guarantee that victims get both short-term aid and assistance with their rehabilitation and ongoing needs. But these organizations confront huge obstacles that make it hard for their services to make a difference, no matter how good their intentions are. There are a lot of different obstacles that victims confront while trying to get help, including gaps in the law and infrastructure as well as social and cultural ones.

1.3.1 Lack of Awareness and Accessibility

Not many people in India know about victim support programs and their rights, which is a major problem. Victims in rural and economically disadvantaged regions, in particular, often may not know of the resources that are accessible to them. The complex legal structures and bureaucratic impediments that often accompany victim aid procedures contribute to this lack of information. When victims need psychiatric assistance, medical treatment, or legal representation, they often do not know who to turn to.

Victims in rural locations sometimes endure silently, without the help they need, since there is a heightened lack of information about victims' rights. There is a service delivery gap between rural and urban areas, even though metropolitan centers may have better developed networks for support. In addition, victim support organizations should implement outreach efforts to ensure that even in locations with adequate resources, they are not being fully used.

Victims are sometimes confused about who to contact first since services are typically divided across sectors. There is no obvious method for the victim to access the various services; for example, legal help might be accessible in one place but psychological counselling in another.

1.3.2. Insufficient Infrastructure and Resources

Inadequate funding and facilities have a devastating effect on India's victim support system, reducing both the scope and quality of services offered. When it comes to providing victims with complete care, agencies, particularly those at the state level, are often understaffed and underfunded. State Legal Services Authorities (SLSAs) and the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) are overburdened with cases and cannot handle the demand for their services.

Victim support programs suffer when funding is inadequate. For example, there aren't many shelters that provide the necessities for victims of human trafficking and domestic abuse to recover from their ordeals. Free legal assistance for victims is sometimes delayed or of poor quality since legal aid attorneys are underfunded and overworked.

Victims of sexual assault and other forms of abuse also face a severe lack of funding for medical treatment. Victims of crime can endure additional stress as a result of the absence of specialist departments in many hospitals that can meet their particular medical requirements. The judicial procedure and the victim's prospects of achieving justice might be greatly affected by substandard treatment or a lack of medical documentation in such circumstances.

1.3.3. Bureaucratic and Legal Hurdles

Bureaucratic red tape in India often prevents victims from receiving assistance and justice on time. Paperwork and procedural restrictions may make the process of receiving compensation or legal help seem daunting. The issue is made worse by the absence of a victim-friendly and efficient method for obtaining compensation.

As an example, it might take years for victims to learn of their entitlement to compensation, and even then, it can be a frustrating procedure. As a result of bureaucratic red tape, victim aid organizations often face lengthy wait times, causing unnecessary hardship for victims in dire need of urgent financial or medical support.

Prolonged legal proceedings, particularly those involving sensitive or high-profile crimes, may add insult to injury for victims. Many victims of crime in India have to wait years for their cases to be finally resolved because of the country's infamously sluggish criminal justice system. It becomes even more complicated since there aren't any special courts that deal with instances involving sexual assault, trafficking, or child abuse.

Also, victim aid programs are inefficient since they don't employ technology enough. There is a bottleneck for quick response and help might be delayed due to the paper-based processes that many

organizations currently use. Victims are discouraged from seeking justice as a result of the authorities' ineffective bureaucracy.

1.3.4. Socio-Cultural Barriers

One of the main obstacles that victim support services in India encounter is the prevalence of socio-cultural barriers. Victims sometimes do not seek aid because of societal stigmas, gender prejudices, and cultural standards. The social pressure on victims, particularly women, to keep quiet in situations of sexual assault, domestic abuse, or caste-based discrimination may be enormous. Victims are discouraged from reporting crimes or seeking aid due to the widespread fear of being blamed or socially isolated, particularly in conservative areas.

Many victims in more traditional or rural areas face societal pressure from within their own communities, including law enforcement, to forego legal action because to the pervasiveness of long-established social norms and hierarchies. For example, in order to preserve family honor, it may be suggested that victims of domestic violence make amends with their perpetrators. In a same vein, victim aid services and law enforcement officials may treat women from oppressed groups like the Dalits or Adivasis with even more hostility when they ask for assistance.

Victims and law enforcement organizations also have deep-seated distrust. There is a lot of victim anxiety that their concerns will be ignored or mistreated, which might lead to more injury. People of color and women are more likely to mistrust the police because of the insensitivity with which they are often handled.

Some victims don't seek help because of the shame associated with crimes like sex work and human trafficking. The societal stigmatization of these situations makes it difficult for non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and government institutions to advocate on behalf of the victims.

1.3.5. Lack of Coordination Among Agencies

The disparity in approach from the several organizations tasked with victim aid is another big obstacle. In India, victim support services range from rehabilitation to legal help, although they are disjointed and provided by various governmental and non-governmental groups. Victims often face the daunting task of navigating many organizations without a clear route to full help due to the lack of coordination.

There have been some attempts to combine services, but these have not been common, and victims often face a maze of organizations, each with its own paperwork, procedures, and deadlines. Victims may experience further stress if they are required to recount their terrible experiences to various authorities on many occasions.

Another reason why things get duplicated is because there isn't a single, nationwide database that keeps track of cases, compensation claims, and support services. A victim may get aid from one organization without realizing there are more resources that would be of greater benefit to them.

Numerous obstacles, such as ineffective bureaucracy, a lack of funding, and long-standing societal and cultural norms, make it difficult for victim aid organizations in India to provide the help that victims need. upgrading awareness, simplifying legal procedures, upgrading infrastructure, and encouraging more cooperation among agencies are all critical components of victim support program improvements that are desperately needed. The victim assistance system will remain inadequate in addressing the needs of victims of crime and violence until these modifications are implemented. If India takes on these problems, it will be one step closer to building a victim assistance system that is efficient, empathetic, and fair.

1.4 CONCLUSION

There are many obstacles that victim relief agencies in India must overcome. These include public ignorance, insufficient funding, ineffective bureaucracy, social and cultural obstacles, and a general lack of cooperation amongst different organizations. Crime and violence victims are unable to get the help and justice they need because of these barriers. upgrading accessibility, simplifying legal procedures, upgrading infrastructure, increasing awareness, and encouraging improved cooperation among stakeholders are all necessary improvements to tackle these difficulties. In order to improve victim aid and provide greater help for those in need, India must overcome these obstacles.

REFERENCES

1. Dwarampudi, Soni & Manikyam, K Sita. (2023). Rights of victims and laws relating to victim compensation.

2. G S, Mahantesh & Rangaswamy, Mamatha. (2024). Victim Compensation in India -Recent Analysis.

3. Kaur, Manjinder & Aasha, Arti. (2024). Revisiting Victim Compensation in India. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 13. 9. 10.21275/SR231218163613.

4. Khandpasole, Pravin & Khandpasole, Jyoti & Chong, Mark & Hoffensetz, Katherine. (2017). Supporting and Assisting Victims of Crime: The Indian Scenario.

5. Kumar, Dr. (2024). Compensation To Victim Under The Indian Constitution And Other Indian Laws. Educational Administration: Theory and Practice. 30. 10.53555/kuey.v30i1.7638.

6. Nagpal, Megha & Rawandale, Chandrashekhar. (2023). Tracing journey of crime victim's position under Indian law with evolutionary insights from the United States' federal code on victims' rights. Cogent Arts & Humanities. 10. 10.1080/23311983.2023.2286071.

7. Nair, Vipin. (2023). The status of victim protection in India: comparative analysis with international regime. International Journal of Public Law and Policy. 9. 130-147. 10.1504/IJPLAP.2022.10047172.

8. Nunna, Bhanu & Kirchhoff, Gerd &Palit, Manjushree. (2022). The Criminal Justice System's Interventions toward Crime Victimization: Aims and Challenges. Journal Yustika: Media Hukum Dan Keadilan. 25. 54-64. 10.24123/yustika.v25i01.5110.

9. P. Sahni, Sanjeev & Palit, Manjushree & Astha, Dhanda,. (2016). Victims' assistance in India-suggesting legislative reform: a comprehensive comparative policy review.

10. Singh, Swati. (2024). Comparative Analysis of Rights of Victim in The Criminal Proceedings in India: Need for improving Victim Justice. Legal Research & Analysis. 1. 10.69971/jksrvn06.